

# Symmetries of the roots of $b$ -functions: a survey

Luis Narváez Macarro\*  
Dep. of Algebra & IMUS  
Univ. of Sevilla

Kaiserslautern, 4<sup>th</sup> August 2015

\*Partially financed by MTM2013-46231-P and FEDER, and P12-FQM-2696.

# § 0. - Introduction

Algebraic setting :  $k = \mathbb{C}$  or  $k =$  a field of ch.  $= 0$

$R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$ ,  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $k[[x]]$ , "diff. admissible  $k$ -algebras"

Main differential objects :  $\text{Der}_k(R)$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{R/k}$

BS-polynomials or  $b$ -functions :  $h \in R \rightsquigarrow$

$\exists b(s) \in k[s]$ ,  $b(s) \neq 0$ ,  $\exists P(s) \in \mathcal{D}[s]$  s.t.

$$b(s) h^s = P(s) h^{s+1}$$

(Bernstein, Kashiwara, Björk, Melikhout-NM, Núñez-Betancourt)

$$b_h(s) := \text{minimal polynomial of } s \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}[s] h^s}{\mathcal{D}[s] h^{s+1}}$$

The reduced  $b$ -function :

$$\tilde{b}_h(s) = \frac{b_h(s)}{s+1} = \text{min. pol. of } s \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}[s] h^s}{\mathcal{D}[s] h^s}$$

The talk is concerned with symmetry properties of the following type:  $\tilde{b}(s) = \pm \tilde{b}(-s - e)$ ,  $e$  fixed.  
or:  $b(s) = \pm b(-s - 2)$

Motivation:

- ) Granger-Schulze 2010: reductive prehomogeneous determinants, special linear free divisors
- ) Isolated  $g$ - $h$  singularities
- )  $b$ -functions associated with ILC with respect to  $g$ - $h$  plane curves.

# §1. - The free case

→ REDUCED

Definition:  $h \in R$  is free (Saito) if

$$\text{Der}(-\log h) = \{ \delta \in \text{Der}_k(R) \mid \delta(h) \in \langle h \rangle \}$$

is a  $R$ -free module (of rank =  $n$ )

Assume  $h$  (reduced) free:  $\delta_i(h) = \alpha_i h, 1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\delta_i = \sum a_{ij} \partial_j ; \text{Saito's crit.: } \det(a_{ij}) = (\text{unit}) h$$

\*) Logarithmic Bernstein construction (Calderón-NM, 2009)

$$\mathcal{V} = R[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n] \subset \mathcal{D}$$

$$R[s] h^s$$

$$R[s] h^s = \frac{\mathcal{V}}{\mathcal{V} \langle \delta_i - \alpha_i s \rangle}$$

One can construct a Spencer resolution of  $R[s]h^s$  over  $V[s]$ .

$$R[s]h^s \subset R[s, h^{-1}]h^s$$

Everything make sense for  $h^{q(s)}$ ,  $q(s) \in k[s]$ .

There is a comparison map:

$$\textcircled{\star} \mathcal{D}[s] \otimes_{V[s]}^{\mathbb{L}} R[s]h^s \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}[s]h^s \left( \subset R[s, h^{-1}]h^s \right)$$

The left side term can be computed by means of the logarithmic Spencer resolution.

Theorem: If  $\textcircled{\star}$  is an isomorphism, then:

$$D(\mathcal{D}[s]h^s) = \mathcal{D}[s]h^{-s-1}$$

This is a consequence of a duality formula of Calderón-NM (2005) relative to an extension of Lie-Rinehart algebras:

$$\text{Der}(-\log h) \subset \text{Der}_k(R)$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\text{Der}(-\log h)) \subset \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{U}(\text{Der}_k(R))$$

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{D}[s]} \left( \mathcal{D}[s] \otimes_{\mathcal{U}[s]} \mathcal{E} \right) \simeq \mathcal{D}[s] \otimes_{\mathcal{U}[s]} \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{E}) h^{-1}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{U}}(R[s] h^s) = R[s] h^{-s}$$

We have to take  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{D}[s]}$  on:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[s] h^{s+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[s] h^s \rightarrow Q(s) = \frac{\mathcal{D}[s] h^s}{\mathcal{D}[s] h^{s+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\mathbb{D}(Q(s)) = Q(-s-2) [-1]$$

$$b_h(s) = \pm b_h(-s-2)$$

Sufficient conditions for  $(\star)$  to be  $\simeq$

$$a) \mathcal{D}[s] \otimes_{\mathcal{V}[s]} R[s] h^s \simeq \mathcal{D}[s] h^s \iff \text{ann}_{\mathcal{D}[s]} h^s$$

is generated by order 1 op.

$$b) \mathcal{D}[s] \otimes_{\mathcal{V}[s]}^{\perp} R[s] h^s \text{ is concentrated in } \text{deg} = 0$$

$h$  "linear Jacobian type"  $\Rightarrow a)$

$h$  "linear Jacobian type"  $\Rightarrow \{h, \sigma(\delta_1 - \alpha_1 s), \dots, \sigma(\delta_n - \alpha_n s)\}$

is a regular sequence in  $\text{gr}(\mathcal{D})[s] \Rightarrow b)$

Free hyperplane arrangements  $\Rightarrow$  Free loc.  $\mathfrak{f}-h \Rightarrow$

Free linear Jacobian

§2.- Q-H isolated singularities revisited (with Arcadias)

$$\eta = \bar{h}^s \in \frac{\mathcal{D}[s] h^s}{\mathcal{D}[s] J h^s} =: \tilde{Q}(s)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ann}_{\mathcal{D}[s]} \eta &= \mathcal{D}[s] \langle h'_{x_i}, h'_{x_j} \partial_i - h'_{x_i} \partial_j, \chi - s \rangle = \\ &= \mathcal{D}[s] \langle h'_{x_1}, \dots, h'_{x_n}, \chi - s \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We can form a Spencer complex again and:

$$\mathbb{D}(\tilde{Q}(s)) = \tilde{Q}(-s-n)[-1]$$

## § 3. - More symmetry properties

\*) Thom - Sebastiani join

$$f(x) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0), g(y) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$$

$$h = f(x) + g(y) : (\mathbb{C}^{m+n}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$$

Assume  $f \in \langle f'_{x_1}, \dots, f'_{x_m} \rangle$

Theorem (M. Saito, 1994):

$$\tilde{b}_{f+g} = \tilde{b}_f * \tilde{b}_g$$

With:  $\alpha * \beta$  defined as:

$$\underbrace{\langle \alpha(t), \beta(s-t) \rangle}_{\cap} \cap k[s] = \langle (\alpha * \beta)(s) \rangle$$
$$k[s, t]$$

A purely algebraic proof is still missing

$$\text{If: } \alpha(s) = \pm \alpha(-s-d), \quad \beta(s) = \pm \beta(-s-e) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow (\alpha * \beta)(s) = \pm (\alpha * \beta)(-s-(d+e))$$

In that way we can produce examples of  $h$   
with  $\tilde{b}_h(s) = \pm \tilde{b}_h(-s-e)$ ,  $1 \leq e \leq n$ ,  
satisfying:

- )  $J$  linear type
- )  $\mathbb{O}/J$  C.M.
- )  $e = \text{Codim } \{h=0\}^{\text{sing}}$
- ) even irreducible

That suggests a Conjecture ...

## §4.- Looking for a general setting

Quasi-free structures (Damon, Castro-Ucha) are in principle adapted to study:

- ) free multiorrangements
- ) prehomogeneous determinants
- ) Some nearly free divisors (Dimca)

This is work in progress in collab. with F. Castro, D. Mond.

The basic idea is that we can start with a rank  $n$  free Lie-Rinehart algebra  $L \subset \text{Der}_k(R)$

In this case:  $h = \det.$  of Saito's matrix of  $L$

Condition  $(\star)$  should be relaxed. For instance, some examples suggest the following:

$(\star)' \exists \beta(s) \in k[s], \beta(s) \neq 0$ , s.t. condition  $(\star)$  becomes true after inverting  $\beta(s)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left( \mathcal{D}[s] \otimes_{\mathcal{V}[s]}^{\mathbb{H}} R[s] h^s \right)_{\beta(s)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \mathcal{D}[s] h^s \right)_{\beta(s)} & & \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{D}[s, 1/\beta] \otimes_{\mathcal{V}[s, 1/\beta]}^{\mathbb{H}} R[s, 1/\beta] h^s \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}[s, 1/\beta] h^s \left( \subset R[s, 1/h, 1/\beta] h^s \right) \end{array}$$

This property would give the symmetry of the b-function up to factors of  $\text{l.c.m.}(\beta(s), \beta(-s-2)) = \tilde{\beta}(s)$ .

From here we can deduce the logarithmic comparison theorem whenever  $\tilde{\beta}(l) \neq 0$  for all integers  $l < -1$ .